

Classification of Two Dimensional $N = 4$ Superconformal Symmetries

ABBAS ALI

*Physics Department,
Aligarh Muslim University,
Aligarh 202002, India*

Abstract

Classification of $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries in two dimensions is re-examined. It is proposed that apart from $SU(2)$ and $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ their Kac-Moody symmetry can also be $SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$. These superconformal symmetries and corresponding algebras are named small, large and middle ones respectively. Operator product expansions for the middle algebra are derived. Complete free field realizations of large and middle superconformal symmetries are obtained.

Keywords: Superconformal field theory; free field realization; string theory; AdS/CFT correspondence

Conformal symmetries in two space-time dimensions have been very extensively studied owing to their applications both in string theory and two dimensional statistical systems. The same is true for their supersymmetric generalisations which have been classified at least upto $N = 3$ superconformal symmetries in the sense that we know the corresponding superconformal graded Lie algebras, operator product expansions (OPEs) and the free field realizations. Same, however, can not be said for theories with $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries. This situation is remedied in this note. This should also complete the classification of all two dimensional linear superconformal theories because $N = 4$ symmetries are the highest ones which can have non-vanishing central charges without requiring operators of negative dimensions.

Classification of $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries is very interesting because, unlike the $N = 1, 2$ and 3 cases, there exist three such symmetries. Easiest way to distinguish among them is to specify their Kac-Moody symmetries. An $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry can have either $SU(2)$ or $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ or $SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$ Kac-Moody subsymmetry. We shall refer to corresponding superconformal symmetries (algebras) as small, large and middle ones respectively. Out of these symmetries the small and large ones are well known and well established. Our aim in this note is to obtain minimal information required to put the middle symmetry on equal footings with them. Redressal of this problem acquires certain urgency because of abundant occurrence of $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries in the context of AdS-CFT correspondence [1]-[5] related to three dimensional stringy black hole [4, 6] backgrounds as well as D1/D5 system [7, 8].

This paper is organised as follows. First of all we very briefly describe the small $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry algebra and present corresponding (anti-) commutation relations, OPEs and a suitable free field realization. Next we do the same for the large algebra. After that we list the (anti-) commutation relations of the middle algebra and derive corresponding OPEs. A free field realization of this symmetry is then obtained. This realization turns out to be incomplete. We identify the source of this problem and then take appropriate steps to remove the discrepancy. To do it we start by generalizing the most commonly used free field realization of the large algebra. A complete free field realization of the middle algebra is then obtained. Finally conclusions about complete classification of $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries in two dimensions and suggestions for further investigations are presented.

The small $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry was discovered by Ademollo et al [9]. It is generated by eight operators consisting of the energy-momentum tensor $\tilde{T}(z)$, its four superpartners $\tilde{G}^a(z)$, $a \in \{0, i\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and three $SU(2)$ Kac-Moody currents $\tilde{J}^i(z)$. Corresponding holomorphic anomalous dimensions are 2, 3/2 and 1 respectively. Modes of these operators are denoted by $\tilde{L}_m$, $\tilde{G}_r^a$ and $\tilde{J}_m^i$ respectively. These modes satisfy the following small $N = 4$ graded Lie superconformal algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{L}_m, \tilde{L}_n] &= (m-n)\tilde{L}_{m+n} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{12}(m^3-m)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
[\tilde{L}_m, \tilde{G}_r^a] &= \left(\frac{m}{2}-r\right)\tilde{G}_{m+r}^a, \quad [\tilde{L}_m, \tilde{J}_n^i] = -n\tilde{J}_{m+n}^i, \\
\{\tilde{G}_r^a, \tilde{G}_s^b\} &= 2\delta^{ab}\tilde{L}_{r+s} - 4(r-s)\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\tilde{J}_{r+s}^i + \frac{\tilde{c}}{3}\left(r^2-\frac{1}{4}\right)\delta^{ab}\delta_{r+s,0}, \\
[\tilde{J}_m^i, \tilde{G}_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{+i}\tilde{G}_{m+r}^b, \quad [\tilde{J}_m^i, \tilde{J}_n^j] = \epsilon^{ijk}\tilde{J}_{m+n}^k - m\frac{\tilde{k}}{2}\delta^{ij}\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
\alpha_{ab}^{+i} &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta_a^i\delta_b^0 - \delta_b^i\delta_a^0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{iab}, \quad \tilde{c} = 6\tilde{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In this algebra if we change $\tilde{G}_r^0$ to $-\tilde{G}_r^0$ then the structure constants α_{ab}^{+i} are changed to α_{ab}^{-i} where

$$\alpha_{ab}^{-i} = -\frac{1}{2}(\delta_a^i\delta_b^0 - \delta_b^i\delta_a^0) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{iab}. \tag{2}$$

Above (anti-) commutation relations can be derived from the following OPEs of the small $N = 4$ superconformal algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(z)\tilde{T}(\omega) &= \frac{\tilde{c}/2}{(z-\omega)^4} + \frac{2\tilde{T}}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\partial\tilde{T}}{z-\omega}, \\
\tilde{T}(z)\tilde{G}^a(\omega) &= \frac{3/2\tilde{G}^a}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\partial\tilde{G}^a}{z-\omega}, \quad \tilde{T}(z)\tilde{J}^i(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{J}^i}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\partial\tilde{J}^i}{z-\omega}, \\
\tilde{G}^a(z)\tilde{G}^b(\omega) &= \frac{2\tilde{c}/3\delta^{ab}}{(z-\omega)^3} - \frac{8\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\tilde{J}^i}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{2\delta^{ab}\tilde{T} - 4\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\partial\tilde{J}^i}{z-\omega}, \\
\tilde{J}^i(z)\tilde{G}^a(\omega) &= \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\tilde{G}^b}{z-\omega}, \quad \tilde{J}^i(z)\tilde{J}^j(\omega) = -\frac{\tilde{k}/2\delta^{ij}}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}\tilde{J}^k}{z-\omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

A free field realizations of this algebra is given by the following expressions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(z) &= -J^0 J^0 + \frac{(k+1)}{\sqrt{k+2}} \partial J^0 - \frac{1}{k+2} J^i J^i + \psi^a \partial \psi^a, \\
\tilde{J}^1(z) &= J^1 - \psi^0 \psi^1 + \psi^2 \psi^3, \text{ cyclic for } \tilde{J}^2 \text{ and } \tilde{J}^3, \\
\tilde{G}^0(z) &= 2 \left[J^0 \psi^0 + \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{k+2}} \partial \psi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+2}} J^i \psi^i + \frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}} \psi^1 \psi^2 \psi^3 \right], \\
\tilde{G}^1(z) &= 2 \left[J^0 \psi^1 + \frac{k+1}{\sqrt{k+2}} \partial \psi^1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+2}} (-J^1 \psi^0 + J^2 \psi^3 - J^3 \psi^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}} \psi^0 \psi^2 \psi^3 \right], \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

with cyclic expressions for $\tilde{G}^2$ and $\tilde{G}^3$. Here k is the level of the $SU(2)$ currents $J^i(z)$ used above. The $SU(2)$ Kac-Moody algebra obeyed by them is

$$J^i(z) J^j(\omega) = -\frac{k/2\delta^{ij}}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} J^k(\omega)}{z-\omega}. \tag{5}$$

Other two point functions are

$$\psi^a(z) \psi^b(\omega) = -\frac{1/2\delta^{ab}}{z-\omega}, \quad J^0(z) J^0(\omega) = -\frac{1/2}{(z-\omega)^2}. \tag{6}$$

The level $\tilde{k}$ occurring in the small algebra is related to the level k of the “free” $SU(2)$ by the equation $\tilde{k} = k + 1$. The central charge of this realization is $\tilde{c} = 6\tilde{k} = 6(k + 1)$.

We now summarize the large $N = 4$ superconformal algebra, its OPEs and its most commonly used free field realization. The corresponding symmetry is generated by sixteen operators. Apart from the energy-momentum tensor $T(z)$ and its four superpartners $G^a(z)$ it has seven weight 1 currents $\{A^{\pm i}(z), U(z)\}$ generating $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ Kac-Moody subalgebra and four weight 1/2 fermionic currents $Q^a(z)$. We shall denote the corresponding modes by $\{L_m, G_r^a, A_m^{\pm i}, U_m, Q_r^a\}$ which satisfy the following large $N = 4$ superconformal graded Lie algebra.

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, \Phi_n] &= [(d_\Phi - 1)m - n]\Phi_{m+n}, \\
&\quad \Phi_n \in \{G_n^a, A_n^{\pm i}, U_n, Q_n^a\}, d_\Phi \in \{3/2, 1, 1, 1/2\}, \\
\{G_r^a, G_s^b\} &= \frac{c}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta^{ab}\delta_{r+s,0} + 2\delta^{ab}L_{r+s} \\
&\quad + 4(r-s)[\gamma\alpha_{ab}^{+i}A_{r+s}^{+i} + (1-\gamma)\alpha_{ab}^{-i}A_{r+s}^{-i}], \\
[A_m^{+i}, G_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{+i}[G_{m+r}^b - 2(1-\gamma)mQ_{m+r}^b], \\
[A_m^{-i}, G_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{-i}[G_{m+r}^b + 2\gamma mQ_{m+r}^b], \\
[A_m^{\pm i}, A_n^{\pm j}] &= \epsilon^{ijk}A_{m+n}^{\pm k} - \frac{k^\pm}{2}m\delta^{ij}\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
\{Q_r^a, G_s^b\} &= 2(\alpha_{ab}^{+i}A_{r+s}^{+i} - \alpha_{ab}^{-i}A_{r+s}^{-i}) + \delta^{ab}U_{r+s}, \\
[A_m^{\pm i}, Q_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{\pm i}Q_{m+r}^b, \quad [U_m, Q_r^a] = 0, \quad [U_m, G_r^a] = mQ_{m+r}^a, \\
[U_m, A_n^{\pm i}] &= 0, \quad \{Q_r^a, Q_s^b\} = -\frac{c}{12\gamma(1-\gamma)}\delta^{ab}\delta_{r+s,0}, \\
[U_m, U_n] &= -\frac{mc}{12\gamma(1-\gamma)}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad k^+ = \frac{c}{6\gamma}, k^- = \frac{c}{6(1-\gamma)}, \\
[\alpha^{\pm i}, \alpha^{\pm j}] &= -\epsilon^{ijk}\alpha^{\pm k}, \quad [\alpha^{+i}, \alpha^{-j}] = 0, \quad \{\alpha^{\pm i}, \alpha^{\pm j}\} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}, \\
c &= \frac{6k^+k^-}{k^+ + k^-}, \quad \gamma = \frac{k^-}{k^+ + k^-}. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

This superalgebra has two independent parameters unlike all the other superalgebras which have at the most one parameter. In the present case these two parameters can be taken either as k^+ and k^- or as c and γ . Above (anti-) commutators can be derived from the following OPEs.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(z)T(\omega) &= \frac{c/2}{(z-\omega)^4} + \frac{2T}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\partial T}{z-\omega}, \\
T(z)\Phi(\omega) &= \frac{d_\Phi\Phi}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\partial\Phi}{z-\omega}, \\
G^a(z)G^b(\omega) &= \frac{2c/3\delta^{ab}}{(z-\omega)^3} - \frac{8[\gamma\alpha_{ab}^{+i}A^{+i} + (1-\gamma)\alpha_{ab}^{-i}A^{-i}]}{(z-\omega)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\{2T\delta^{ab} - 4[\gamma\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\partial A^{+i} + (1-\gamma)\alpha_{ab}^{-i}\partial A^{-i}]\}}{z-\omega}, \\
A^{+i}(z)G^a(\omega) &= \alpha_{ab}^{+i}[\frac{G^b}{z-\omega} - \frac{2(1-\gamma)Q^b}{(z-\omega)^2}],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{-i}(z)G^a(\omega) &= \alpha_{ab}^{-i}\left[\frac{G^b}{z-\omega} + \frac{2\gamma Q^b}{(z-\omega)^2}\right], \\
A^{\pm i}(z)A^{\pm j}(\omega) &= -\frac{k^{\pm}/2\delta^{ij}}{(z-\omega)^2} + \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}A^{\pm k}}{z-\omega}, \\
A^{+i}(z)A^{-j}(\omega) &= 0 = A^{\pm i}(z)U(\omega), \\
Q^a(z)G^b(\omega) &= \frac{2[\alpha_{ab}^{+i}A^{+i} - \alpha_{ab}^{-i}A^{-i}] + \delta^{ab}U}{z-\omega}, \\
A^{\pm i}(z)Q^a(\omega) &= \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{\pm i}Q^b}{z-\omega}, \quad U(z)Q^a(\omega) = 0, \\
U(z)G^a(\omega) &= \frac{Q^a}{(z-\omega)^2}, \quad Q^a(z)Q^b(\omega) = -\frac{c}{12\gamma(1-\gamma)}\frac{\delta^{ab}}{z-\omega}, \\
U(z)U(\omega) &= -\frac{c}{12\gamma(1-\gamma)}\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2}. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

This algebra attained the present form through the efforts of many people [9]-[13]. Sevrin, Troost and van Proeyen gave the following free field realization also together with many insights into its substructures.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(z) &= -J^0 J^0 - \frac{1}{k+2}J^i J^i + \psi^a \partial \psi^a, \\
U(z) &= \sqrt{k+2}J^0(z), \quad Q^a(z) = \sqrt{k+2}\psi^a(z), \\
A^{+1}(z) &= J^1 - \psi^0 \psi^1 + \psi^2 \psi^3, \text{ cyclic for } A^{+2,3}, \\
A^{-1}(z) &= \psi^0 \psi^1 + \psi^2 \psi^3, \text{ cyclic for } A^{-2,3}, \\
G^0(z) &= 2 \left[J^0 \psi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+2}}J^i \psi^i + \frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}}\psi^1 \psi^2 \psi^3 \right], \\
G^1(z) &= 2 \left[J^0 \psi^1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+2}}(-J^1 \psi^0 + J^2 \psi^3 - J^3 \psi^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}}\psi^0 \psi^2 \psi^3 \right], \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

with cyclic expressions for $G^2(z)$ and $G^3(z)$. Central charge for this realization is $c = 6(k+1)/(k+2)$ while other parameters have values $k^- = 1$, $k^+ = k+1$, $\gamma = 1/(k+2)$. Above realizations is the most commonly used one in the literature. This algebra too has been studied very extensively but We shall not go into those aspects. Rather we now turn to the middle $N = 4$ superalgebra.

The middle $N = 4$ superconformal algebra was discovered in [14]. This algebra too has sixteen generators but the Kac-Moody subalgebra this time is $SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$ generated by the weight 1 currents $\hat{J}^i(z)$ and $\hat{U}^a(z)$ where $\hat{U}^a(z) \in \{\hat{U}(z) = \hat{U}^0(z), \hat{U}^i(z)\}$. Here all $\hat{U}^a(z)$ s are $U(1)$ currents. Other generators of this symmetry are denoted in this note by $\hat{T}(z), \hat{G}^a(z)$ and $\hat{Q}^a(z)$ with conformal weights 2, $3/2$ and $1/2$ respectively. Modes of these operators are $\hat{J}_m^i, \hat{U}_m^a, \hat{L}_m, \hat{G}_r^a$ and $\hat{Q}_r^a$ respectively. These modes satisfy the following middle $N = 4$ superconformal graded Lie algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{L}_m, \hat{L}_n] &= (m-n)\hat{L}_{m+n} + \frac{\hat{c}}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
[\hat{L}_m, \hat{\Phi}_n] &= [(\hat{d}_\Phi - 1)m - n]\hat{\Phi}_{m+n}, \\
&\quad \hat{\Phi}_n \in \{\hat{G}_n^a, \hat{J}_n^i, \hat{U}_n^a, \hat{Q}_n^a\}, \hat{d}_\Phi \in \{3/2, 1, 1, 1/2\}, \\
\{\hat{G}_r^a, \hat{G}_s^b\} &= 2\delta^{ab}\hat{L}_{r+s} + 4(r-s)\alpha_{ab}^{+i}\hat{J}_{r+s}^i + \frac{\hat{c}}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta^{ab}\delta_{r+s,0}, \\
[\hat{J}_m^i, \hat{G}_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{+i}\hat{G}_{m+r}^b, \quad [\hat{U}_m^i, \hat{G}_r^a] = 2m\alpha_{ab}^{-i}\hat{Q}_{m+r}^b, \\
[\hat{J}_m^i, \hat{J}_n^j] &= \epsilon^{ijk}\hat{J}_{m+n}^k - \frac{\hat{k}}{2}m\delta^{ij}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [\hat{U}_m^a, \hat{J}_n^i] = 0, \\
\{\hat{Q}_r^a, \hat{G}_s^b\} &= -2\alpha_{ab}^{-i}\hat{U}_{r+s}^i + \delta^{ab}\hat{U}_{r+s}, \quad [\hat{U}_m^a, \hat{Q}_r^b] = 0, \\
[\hat{J}_m^i, \hat{Q}_r^a] &= \alpha_{ab}^{+i}\hat{Q}_{m+r}^b, \quad \{\hat{Q}_r^a, \hat{Q}_s^b\} = -\frac{\hat{k}}{2}\delta^{ab}\delta_{r+s,0}, \\
[\hat{U}_m^a, \hat{G}_r^a] &= m\hat{Q}_{m+r}^a, \quad [\hat{U}_m^a, \hat{U}_n^b] = -m\frac{\hat{k}}{2}\delta^{ab}\delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

In reference [14] this algebra was obtained from the large algebra through a specific Inönü-Wigner contraction. Since this contraction procedure will be required again in the rest of this note, we begin by reviewing it. First of all we make the following redefinitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}_m &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} L_m, & \hat{U}_m^i &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} A_m^{-i}, \\
\hat{G}_r^a &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} G_r^a, & \hat{Q}_r^a &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} Q_r^a, \\
\hat{J}_m^i &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} A_m^{+i}, & \hat{U}_m &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} U_m. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Some of these seem to have vanishing right hand sides and others seem to be trivial. Neither of these observations is true because above redefinitions are

used to obtain the (anti-) commutators (10) from (7). This is done as follows. We make above redefinitions in the (anti-) commutators of the large algebra without taking the singular limit $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ such that only hatted generators are used. After that we take the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 1$. This limit makes some of the terms vanish. In particular the currents $\hat{U}_m^i$ are abelianized. Thus one of the $SU(2)$ Kac-Moody subalgebras of the large algebra is changed to $(U(1))^3$. As a consequence the middle algebra gets an $SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$ Kac-Moody subalgebra. We rename the level k^+ of the $SU(2)$ currents A_m^{+i} as $\hat{k}$ for the currents $\hat{J}_m^i$. Another effect of above singular rescalings is to reduce the central charge to the value $\hat{c} = 6\hat{k}$.

It is worth noticing that due to inner Z_2 grading of the large algebra an equivalent Inönü-Wigner contraction would have been the following one.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{L}_m &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} L_m, & \bar{U}_m^i &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\gamma} A_m^{+i}, \\ \bar{G}_r^a &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} G_r^a, & \bar{Q}_r^a &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\gamma} Q_r^a, \\ \bar{J}_m^i &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} A_m^{-i}, & \bar{U}_m &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\gamma} U_m.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

This way we would have got a middle algebra equivalent to (10) with central charge $\bar{c} = 6\bar{k} = 6k^-$ with roles of α_{ab}^{+i} and α_{ab}^{-i} interchanged. Here $\bar{k} = k^-$ is the level of the $SU(2)$ algebra generated by the currents $\bar{J}_m^i$. Depending on our convenience we shall use both of these contractions.

We have chosen the name *middle* for this new symmetry since it has features common to both of the other symmetries. Like the small symmetry it has only one $SU(2)$ subalgebra and does not have $N = 3$ as a subsymmetry and possibly can not be topologically twisted. At the same time like the large algebra it has sixteen generators out of which seven are of Kac-Moody nature. Unlike the small algebra it is *not* a subalgebra of the large algebra. Like the large algebra the middle one also has the small algebra as a subalgebra. More precisely the small algebra is an invariant subalgebra of the middle algebra. Moreover the Kac-Moody subalgebra $SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$ is more stringent than $SU(2)$ but less than $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$.

In reference [14] the OPEs of the middle algebra were not derived. We shall do so now. We make following substitutions in eqs.(8)

$$\hat{T}(z) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} T(z), \quad \hat{U}^i(z) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} A^{-i}(z),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{G}^a(z) &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} G^a(z), & \hat{Q}^a(z) &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} Q^a(z), \\
\hat{J}^i(z) &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} A^{+i}(z), & \hat{U}(z) &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{1 - \gamma} U(z)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

together with the redefinitions $\hat{c} = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} c$ and $\hat{k} = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} k^+$. Just like earlier cases we take the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ after making above redefinitions in the OPEs. As a result of this Inönü-Wigner contraction many crucial changes occur in equations containing the operators $\hat{U}^a$ and $\hat{Q}^a$. For example $\hat{U}^i$ terms disappear from $\hat{G}^a \hat{G}^b$ OPE. Other terms which disappear are $\hat{Q}^b$ in $\hat{J}^i \hat{G}^a$, $\hat{G}^b$ term in $\hat{U}^i \hat{G}^a$, $\hat{U}^k$ term in $\hat{U}^i \hat{U}^j$, $\hat{J}^i$ term in $\hat{Q}^a \hat{G}^b$ and $\hat{Q}^b$ term in $\hat{U}^i \hat{Q}^a$. Most of the central terms too are modified. These steps lead us to the following OPEs for the middle algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}(z) \hat{T}(\omega) &= \frac{\hat{c}/2}{(z - \omega)^4} + \frac{2\hat{T}}{(z - \omega)^2} + \frac{\partial \hat{T}}{z - \omega}, \\
\hat{T}(z) \hat{\Phi}(\omega) &= \frac{\hat{d}_\Phi \hat{\Phi}}{(z - \omega)^2} + \frac{\partial \hat{\Phi}}{z - \omega}, \\
\hat{G}^a(z) \hat{G}^b(\omega) &= \frac{2\hat{c}/3\delta^{ab}}{(z - \omega)^3} - \frac{8\alpha_{ab}^{+i} \hat{J}^i}{(z - \omega)^2} + \frac{2\delta^{ab} \hat{T} - 4\alpha_{ab}^{+i} \partial \hat{J}^i}{z - \omega}, \\
\hat{J}^i(z) \hat{G}^a(\omega) &= \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{+i} \hat{G}^b}{z - \omega}, & \hat{U}^i(z) \hat{G}^a(\omega) &= \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{-i} \hat{Q}^b}{(z - \omega)^2} \\
\hat{J}^i(z) \hat{J}^j(\omega) &= -\frac{\hat{k}/2\delta^{ij}}{(z - \omega)^2} + \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} \hat{J}^k}{z - \omega}, & \hat{U}^a(z) \hat{U}^b(\omega) &= -\frac{\hat{k}/2\delta^{ab}}{(z - \omega)^2}, \\
\hat{J}^i(z) \hat{U}^a(\omega) &= 0 = \hat{U}^a(z) \hat{Q}^b, & \hat{U}(z) \hat{G}^a(\omega) &= \frac{\hat{Q}^a}{(z - \omega)^2}, \\
\hat{Q}^a(z) \hat{G}^b(\omega) &= \frac{\delta^{ab} \hat{U} - 2\alpha_{ab}^{-i} \hat{U}^i}{z - \omega}, \\
\hat{J}^i(z) \hat{Q}^a(\omega) &= \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{+i} \hat{Q}^b}{z - \omega}, & \hat{Q}^a(z) \hat{Q}^b(\omega) &= -\frac{\hat{k}/2\delta^{ab}}{z - \omega}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is a straightforward exercise to verify that these OPEs lead to the middle superalgebra given in eqs.(10). Once again we should remember that by rescaling $A^{+i}(z)$, $Q^a(z)$ and $U(z)$ instead of $A^{-i}(z)$, $Q^a(z)$ and $U(z)$ we would have got the OPEs of the equivalent middle superconformal algebra.

If the middle algebra is part of the family of $N = 4$ superalgebras then, like the other two cases, symmetry corresponding to it too should have proper free field realizations. It turns out that there is an obstacle in achieving this goal. To see it we start by Inönü-Wigner contraction of the free field realization (9) of the large algebra because that is the easiest route for us owing to the origin of the middle algebra. This time we shall use the other rescalings given by eqs.(12) reason for which will become apparent at the end of this process. Since for the realization (9) we have $\gamma = 1/(k+1)$ we shall do the following rescalings

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{T}(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(z), & \bar{U}^i(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A^{+i}(z)}{\sqrt{k+1}}, \\ \bar{G}^a(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G^a(z), & \bar{Q}^a(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^a(z)}{\sqrt{k+1}}, \\ \bar{J}^i(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^{-i}(z), & \bar{U}(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(z)}{\sqrt{k+1}}\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

for the operators occurring in the realization (9). After making the redefinitions without taking the limit we implement the contraction procedure by making $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ or $k \rightarrow \infty$. This results in the following free field realization of the middle algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{T}(z) &= -J^0 J^0 - U^i U^i + \psi^a \partial \psi^a, \\ \bar{U}(z) &= J^0(z), & \bar{Q}(z) &= \psi^a(z), \\ \bar{J}^1(z) &= \psi^0 \psi^1 + \psi^2 \psi^3, \text{ cyclic for } \bar{J}^{2,3}, \\ \bar{U}^i(z) &= U^i(z), & \bar{G}^0 &= 2(J^0 \psi^0 + U^i \psi^i), \\ \bar{G}^1(z) &= 2(J^0 \psi^1 - U^1 \psi^0 + U^2 \psi^3 - U^3 \psi^2)\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

with cyclic expressions for $\bar{G}^2$ and $\bar{G}^3$. Here $\bar{U}^a(z)$, $U^i(z)$ and $J^0(z)$ are all $U(1)$ currents. Particularly $U^i(z)$ is the abelianized set obtained by contraction of $J^i(z)$. The central charge of this realization is $\bar{c} = 6k^- = 6$. This is the problem with this realization which has been alluded to earlier. It has a fixed value of the central charge. For effective use of a conformal symmetry its realizations with general central charges are desirable. Using above realization the only way to obtain other central charges is to pile on several copies, say $\bar{k}$, of this realization to get $\bar{c} = 6\bar{k}$. This of course is not satisfactory because it involves a large number of free fields while from experience

we know that it is not necessary to involve large number of fields to get a general values of the central charge.

It should be clarified that lack of a free field realization with a general central charge does not cast any aspersions on the algebraic structure of this symmetry which conforms to all the norms of a graded Lie superconformal symmetry. Problem lies exclusively with the free field realizations. If this algebras does not have proper free field realizations then it will end up just as a mathematical curiosity with little applications to string theory or 2D critical phenomena. This fear arises because of its origin in Inönü-Wigner contraction. It is a well known fact that Inönü-Wigner contraction reduces the central charge to either zero or a fixed value [15]. Fortunately this will not be so for the case of the middle algebra. We shall prove it below by explicit construction but we can see formally also that this algebra will have proper realizations. In usual contraction procedures the central charge is used up for singular rescalings and is thus reduced to a particular value, singular or otherwise. In such cases there is no other choice of rescalings since central charge is the only free parameter in the algebra. In the particular case under consideration we are lucky because the large algebra has two free parameters, c and γ or k^+ and k^- , as pointed out earlier. In our rescalings we use only one of them, say γ , and therefore the central charge is still left as a free parameter. This indicates that general free field realizations of this algebra should exist.

To find proper realizations of the middle algebra we have to identify the source of the problem in the existing realizations. To do so we again start with the free field realization of the large algebra. Let us consider the $SU(2)$ currents in (9). We notice that the currents A^{+i} are realized in terms of another set J^i of $SU(2)$ currents supplemented by fermionic $SU(2)$ construction. On the other hand currents A^{-i} rely solely on fermionic $SU(2)$ construction and do not have any genuine $SU(2)$ currents in them. In free field realization (16) of the middle algebra the currents J^i have been abelianized leaving us with no genuine $SU(2)$ currents a fact also confirmed by the expressions of the currents $\bar{J}^i$. This is what leads us to a fixed central charge since general values $6\bar{k}$ or $6\hat{k}$ come from the level $\bar{k}$ or $\hat{k}$ of $SU(2)$ Kac-Moody algebra. Moreover we can see that the second equivalent contraction procedure for the realization (9) does not help either because in this case one has to take $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ which demands $k = 0$ giving $c = 6(0 + 1) = 6$ again. Thus we can solve the problem only if we can introduce a genuine $SU(2)$ set

of currents in the realization of the middle $N = 4$ symmetry. This in turn requires that we do the contraction of such a realization of the large algebra which has two genuine sets of “free” $SU(2)$ s.

In view of these circumstances we first take the problem of finding a more general free field realization of the large algebra itself. Above identification of the source of problem and a look at the realization (9) immediately gives us an idea to proceed further. We are tempted to introduce two sets $J^{\pm i}(z)$ of $SU(2)$ currents with levels $q^{\pm i}$ in the realization (9) such that $A^{\pm i}$ s are defined as $J^{\pm i}$ s supplemented by fermionic terms together with suitable changes at other places in the realization. That this naive attempt is inadequate can be seen as follows. Total central charge contributed by the free fields in this case is $3q^+/(q^+ + 2) + 3q^-/(q^- + 2) + 3$. Here first two terms are the contributions of $J^{\pm i}$. Four fermions ψ^a and the free boson $\phi(z)$ that gives the $U(1)$ current $U(z) \propto J^0(z) = \partial\phi(z)$ contribute the last term $3 = 4(1/2) + 1$. This central charge is, in general, not the same as the required value which at this point is $6(q^+ + 1)(q^- + 1)/(q^+ + q^- + 2)$ because now the currents $A^{\pm i}$ have levels $q^{\pm} + 1$.

To overcome this new difficulty we shall not use generalised Sugawara construction since we want a simple free field realization. On the other hand we can not put a background charge on $\phi(z)$ since it will spoil the $U(1)$ nature of $J^0(z)$. What really has happened is that introduction of three extra $SU(2)$ currents has tilted the balance of free fields in favour of bosonic ones. Again, in a realization, it is by no means necessary that numbers of fermionic and bosonic fields be equal but this is a good paradigm to stick to—particularly so for linear realizations of supersymmetry. Once again we take up the expressions for $A^{\pm i}(z)$ and introduce more fermions, say $\chi^a(z)$, in them parallel to the existing ones. This step too leads us to similar problems. Firstly, we have one fermionic free field more than the number of bosonic fields. Secondly, required and available central charges still do not match. Free fields this time contribute a total of $3q^+/(q^+ + 2) + 3q^-/(q^- + 2) + 5$ while algebraic consistency demands the value $6(q^+ + 2)(q^- + 2)/(q^+ + q^- + 4)$; currents $A^{\pm i}$ now having levels $q^{\pm} + 2$. The first problem is solved by introducing an extra free boson $\varphi(z)$ in the realization which increases the contribution of free fields to the central charge to $3q^+/(q^+ + 2) + 3q^-/(q^- +$

2) + 6. This, of course, is still deficient by an amount

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta c &= \frac{6(q^+ + 2)(q^- + 2)}{q^+ + q^- + 4} - \frac{3q^+}{q^+ + 2} - \frac{3q^-}{q^- + 2} - 6 \\ &= 6 \left(\frac{c - 6}{\sqrt{6c}} \right)^2 \equiv 6\beta_0^2.\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

But this deficient central charge can now be compensated by putting suitable background Coulomb charges on free bosons. Earlier restriction on background charge is no more a problem. Since there are two bosons now they will always have a linear $U(1)$ combination. We shall get above deficient central charge if we put background charges $a\beta_0$ and $b\beta_0$ on ϕ and φ respectively such that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Since γ decides relative weightage of inner Z_2 grading in this algebra the easiest guess for a and b are $a = \sqrt{\gamma}$ and $b = -\sqrt{1 - \gamma}$. Reason for an extra negative sign in b will become clear shortly.

Above arguments fix the form of $T(z)$ completely which is

$$\begin{aligned}T(z) &= -J^0 J^0 + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{6c}}(6 - c)\partial J^0 - \frac{6\gamma}{c}J^{+i}J^{+i} + \psi^a\partial\psi^a + \chi^a\partial\chi^a \\ &\quad - K^0 K^0 - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \gamma}{6c}}(6 - c)\partial K^0 - \frac{6(1 - \gamma)}{c}J^{-i}J^{-i},\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

where $K^0 = \partial\varphi$. We can now very easily get the expression for the $U(1)$ current $U(z)$. It has to be a linear combination of $J^0(z)$ and $K^0(z)$. Requirements of correct OPEs with $T(z)$ and with itself completely fix the linear combination which is

$$U(z) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{6\gamma}}J^0(z) + \sqrt{\frac{c}{6(1 - \gamma)}}K^0(z).\tag{19}$$

If we had chosen b above with a positive sign then sign of K^0 in (19) would have also changed. Expressions for the two $SU(2)$ currents also are very simple to write. These are generalizations of the expressions in (9) to incorporate χ^a and J^{-i} . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}A^{+1}(z) &= J^{+1} - \psi^0\psi^1 + \psi^2\psi^3 - \chi^0\chi^1 + \chi^2\chi^3, \text{ cyclic for } A^{+2,3}, \\ A^{-1}(z) &= J^{-1} + \psi^0\psi^1 + \psi^2\psi^3 + \chi^0\chi^1 + \chi^2\chi^3, \text{ cyclic for } A^{-2,3}.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Expressions for $G^a(z)$ s too are easy to write but they are tedious to verify. They will have $\{J^0, J^{+i}, \psi^a\}$ terms as in the realization (9) and similar terms in $\{K^0, J^{-i}, \chi^a\}$. Since OPEs $G^a(z)G^a(\omega)$ (no sum on a) give the energy-momentum tensor which now has background terms, we must make provision for generating such terms. We do so by adding partial derivatives of ψ^a and χ^a to the expressions of the supercurrents G^a . Coefficients of these partial derivatives are fixed by the requirements that correct background charge terms of $T(z)$ are generated in above mentioned OPEs. The coefficients of $J^{+i}\psi$ and $J^{-i}\chi^a$ type terms in $G^a(z)$ are fixed by the requirement that $G^a G^b$, where $a \neq b$, OPEs give correct coefficients of the $SU(2)$ currents on the right hand side. Explicit calculations give the following forms for the supercurrents

$$\begin{aligned}
G^0(z) &= 2[J^0\psi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{6c}}(6-c)\partial\psi^0 + K^0\chi^0 - \sqrt{\frac{(1-\gamma)}{6c}}(6-c)\partial\chi^0 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6\gamma}{c}}(J^{+i}\psi^i + 2\psi^1\psi^2\psi^3) + \sqrt{\frac{6(1-\gamma)}{c}}(J^{-i}\chi^i + 2\chi^1\chi^2\chi^3)], \\
G^1(z) &= 2[J^0\psi^1 + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{6c}}(6-c)\partial\psi^1 + K^0\chi^1 - \sqrt{\frac{(1-\gamma)}{6c}}(6-c)\partial\chi^1 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6\gamma}{c}}(-J^{+1}\psi^0 + J^{+2}\psi^3 - J^{+3}\psi^2 - 2\psi^0\psi^2\psi^3) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6(1-\gamma)}{c}}(-J^{-1}\chi^0 + J^{-2}\chi^3 - J^{-3}\chi^2 - 2\chi^0\chi^2\chi^3)] \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

with cyclic expressions for G^2 and G^3 . Finally the expressions for $Q^a(z)$ s are obtained by calculating the OPEs $U(z)G^a(\omega)$ and comparing them with the required form. This way we get the following expressions

$$Q^a(z) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{6\gamma}}\psi^a(z) + \sqrt{\frac{c}{6(1-\gamma)}}\chi^a(z). \quad (22)$$

We have now all the operators of the large $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry in terms of sixteen “free” fields $J^{\pm i}, \phi, \varphi, \psi^a$ and χ^a . Expressions (18)-(22) give a realization of the large $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry which is complete in all aspects relevant for us. It has two genuine $SU(2)$ currents $J^{\pm i}$ with levels $q^{\pm}$ which lead to proper realizations of $A^{\pm i}$ with levels $k^+ = q^+ + 2 = c/(6\gamma)$ and $k^- = q^- + 2 = c/(6(1-\gamma))$. In it the number

of free field is equal to the number of generators of the symmetry. Finally we would like to remark that these expressions should be closely related to the generators of the $N = 4$ supersymmetry of a non-linear sigma model studied in [13] that have remained largely unnoticed.

Above realization can immediately be used to obtain new free field realizations of the small $N = 4$ and $N = 3$ superconformal symmetries since both of them are subsymmetries of the large one. One also notices that in this realization the Coulomb charge terms vanish precisely for $c = 6$. This observation explains the special nature of realizations with $c = 6$ for $N = 4$ superconformal algebras and their common occurrence. In above realization one can ignore the $SU(2)$ currents and the algebra is realized with the help of ten free fields including eight fermions and two bosons.

At this point we have all the ingredients required to obtain a complete free field realization of the middle algebra. As expected realization (18)-(22) can be contracted in two ways to give two equivalent realizations of the middle $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry. By doing singular rescalings of the operators A^{-i}, J^{-i}, Q^a , and U we get the following expressions for the operators of the middle $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}(z) &= -J^0 J^0 + \frac{6-c}{\sqrt{6c}} \partial J^0 - K^0 K^0 - \frac{6}{c} J^{+i} J^{+i} - \frac{6}{c} V^i V^i \\
&\quad + \psi^a \partial \psi^a + \chi^a \partial \chi^a, \\
\hat{U}(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{c}{6}} K^0(z), \quad \hat{Q}^a(z) = \sqrt{\frac{c}{6}} \chi^a(z), \quad \hat{U}^i(z) = V^i(z), \\
\hat{J}^1(z) &= J^{+1} - \psi^0 \psi^1 + \psi^2 \psi^3 - \chi^0 \chi^1 + \chi^2 \chi^3, \text{ cyclic for } \hat{J}^{2,3}, \\
\hat{G}^0 &= 2\{J^0 \psi^0 + \frac{6-c}{\sqrt{6c}} \partial \psi^0 + K^0 \chi^0 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6}{c}}(J^{+i} \psi^i + 2\psi^1 \psi^2 \psi^3) + \sqrt{\frac{6}{c}} V^i \psi^i\}, \\
\hat{G}^1(z) &= 2\{J^0 \psi^1 + \frac{6-c}{\sqrt{6c}} \partial \psi^1 + K^0 \chi^1 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6}{c}}(-J^{+1} \psi^0 + J^{+2} \psi^3 - J^{+3} \psi^2 - 2\psi^0 \psi^2 \psi^3) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{6}{c}}(-V^1 \psi^0 + V^2 \psi^3 - V^3 \psi^2)\}. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

with cyclic expressions for $\hat{G}^2(z)$ and $\hat{G}^3(z)$. Here the operators $V^i(z)$, $\hat{U}(z)$ and $\hat{U}^i(z)$ are all $U(1)$ currents; $V^i(z)$ s being the abelianized version of $J^{-i}(z)$ s. This realization of the middle $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry, having a central charge $\hat{c} = 6\hat{k} = 6(q^+ + 2)$, is complete in all aspects discussed above. Leaving out the generators $\hat{U}(z)$, $\hat{U}^i(z)$ and $\hat{Q}^a(z)$ from eqs.(23) we get another realization for the small symmetry.

Table A : Classification of Superconformal Symmetries

S.N.	Name and Central Charge	No. of Generators	List of Generators	Kac-Moody Subalgebra
1.	$N = 0, c$	One	$T(z)$	-
2.	$N = 1, c$	Two	$T(z), G(z)$	-
3.	$N = 2, c$	Four	$T(z), G^\pm(z), U(z)$	$U(1)$
4.	$N = 3$ $c = 3k/2$	Eight	$T(z), G^i(z), J^i(z), Q(z)$	$SU(2)$
5.	Small $N = 4$ $\tilde{c} = 6\tilde{k}$	Eight	$\tilde{T}(z), \tilde{G}^a(z), \tilde{J}^i(z)$	$SU(2)$
6.	Middle $N = 4$ $\hat{c} = 6\hat{k}$	Sixteen	$\hat{T}(z), \hat{G}^a(z), \hat{J}^i(z), \hat{U}^a(z), \hat{Q}^a(z)$	$SU(2) \times (U(1))^4$
7.	Large $N = 4$ $c = \frac{6k^+k^-}{(k^++k^-)}$	Sixteen	$T(z), G^a(z), A^{\pm i}(z), U(z), Q^a(z)$	$SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

In view of the above results we conclude that the middle algebra has (anti-) commutators, OPEs and a free field realization on par with the other superconformal symmetries and should be treated as such. The complete classification of $N = 4$ superconformal algebras therefore has three members-large, middle and small. This classification is complete in the sense that we can not further contract the middle algebra or the small algebra to obtain more $N = 4$ superalgebras because in doing so we shall lose the remaining parameter, the central charge. This classification of $N = 4$ together with other superconformal algebras is summarized in Table A.

To conclude we have re-examined the classification of $N = 4$ superconformal symmetries. We have proposed to enhance the number of such symmetries from two to three by studying some essential aspects of a new $N = 4$ symmetry called middle one in this note. Since large and small algebras have been very extensively studied in literature the same can be done for the middle algebra too because we now have its OPEs and a complete free field realization. Moreover the realization (18)-(22) itself should lead to renewed investigations of many aspects of the large algebra.

Results of this note will have numerous applications. These are broadly divided into three categories. In the first category we include the study of the various aspects of middle and large symmetries themselves while the second one pertains to uses of above free field realizations and their descendants. The third category is related to occurrences of the middle $N = 4$ symmetry in string theory.

In the light of above realization (18)-(22) we can generalize the existing Kazama-Suzuki type constructions [16, 17] for the large $N = 4$ superconformal algebra to incorporate real $SU(2)$ s in both sets of the $A^{\pm i}(z)$ s. We expect that more general constructions rather than simple Wolf spaces [18] are relevant for the large algebra. This in turn can be used to obtain Kazama-Suzuki realizations of the middle symmetry. One could also ask whether there is a nonlinear algebra corresponding to the middle algebra [19]. Above free field realizations should also be derivable by Hamiltonian reduction [20]. Another open problem is the study of corresponding nonlinear sigma models [21] which also will be useful to study superstring actions with $N = 4$ world sheet supersymmetry combined with manifest target space duality [22] along the lines of [23] or [24].

Above free field realization of the large symmetry will be quite useful in the applications of $N = 2$ topological superconformal field theories [25]. Screening operators and related aspects of the middle algebra on the lines of ref.[26] can also be investigated.

Few years ago it was observed that N strings can be obtained as a special class of vacua of $N + 1$ strings [27]. It will be interesting to find out the place of the *middle* $N = 4$ string in this hierarchy. A route to find the critical dimensions of the corresponding string will be to do the BRST quantization of a system with middle algebra as constraints on the lines of ref.[28]. We also suspect that this symmetry could be relevant for the Coulomb and Higgs branches of $(4, 4)$ supersymmetric field theories in two dimensions[29] as

well as for strings moving on solitons [30, 31] which have at least the small algebra. In the AdS-CFT correspondence the relevant space time symmetry for superstring propagating on curved spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M} = AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ should be the middle $N = 4$ superconformal symmetry itself [4, 7, 32]. We expect to return to some these problems in near future.

Acknowledgements. This work was started at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai as a postdoctoral fellow. Part of the calculations were done during a visit to the Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste for which I am grateful to S. Randjbar-Daemi. I thank Alok Kumar for introducing me to the subject, K.S. Narain for discussions and H.S. Mani for hospitality at the Mehta Research Institute, Allahabad. Also I thank all of them as well as Hashim Rizvi and S.K. Singh for encouragement. D.P. Jatkar read the manuscript and made useful suggestions for which I am thankful to him.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2(1998)231
- [2] S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B428(1998)105
- [3] E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2(1998)253
- [4] A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2(1998)733; S. Elitzur, O. Feinerman, A. Giveon and D. Tsabar, Phys. Lett. B449(1999)180; I. Pesando, JHEP 02(1999)007
- [5] K. Ito, Phys. Lett. B449(1999)48; O. Andreev, hep-th/9901118; J. de Boer, A. Pasquinucci and K. Skenderis, hep-th/9904073; J. Rasmussen, hep-th/9905181
- [6] A. Ali and A. Kumar, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8(1993)2045; G. Horowitz and D. Welch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71(1993)328; N. Kaloper, Phys. Rev. D48(1993)2598
- [7] S.F. Hassan and S.R. Wadia, Phys. Lett. B402(1997)43; Nucl. Phys. B526(1998)311
- [8] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, hep-th/9903224

- [9] M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. d'Adda, R. d'Auria, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, E. del Giudice, P. Di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto and R. Pettorino, Phys. Lett. B62(1976)105; Nucl. Phys. B114(1976)297
- [10] A. Sevrin, W. Troost and A. van Proeyen, Phys. Lett. B208(1988)447; Ph. Spindel, A. Sevrin, W. Troost and A. van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B311(1988/89)465
- [11] K. Schoutens, Phys. Lett. B194(1987)75; Nucl. Phys. B295(1988)634
- [12] A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B184(1987)191
- [13] E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos and V. Leviant, Nucl. Phys. B304(1988)601; Phys. Lett. B215(1988)689
- [14] A. Ali and A. Kumar, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8(1993)1527
- [15] P. Majumdar, J. Math. Phys. 34(1993)2059
- [16] A. van Proeyen, Class. Quant. Grav. 6(1989)1501; A. Sevrin and G. Theodoridis, Nucl. Phys. B332(1990)380
- [17] S.J. Gates, Jr. and S.V. Ketov, Phys. Rev. D52(1995)2278
- [18] J.A. Wolf, J. Math. Mech. 14(1965)1033; D.V. Alekseevskii, Math. USSR Izv. 9(1975)297
- [19] P. Goddard and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. B214(1988)209
- [20] K. Ito, J.O. Madsen and J.L. Petersen, Phys. Lett. B318(1993)315
- [21] M. R  cek, K. Schoutens and A. Sevrin, Phys. Lett. B265(1991)303; M. G  naydin, Phys. Rev. D47(1993)3600; S.J. Gates, Jr. and L. Rana, Phys. Lett. B345(1995)233
- [22] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rep. 244(1994)71
- [23] A. Ali, Phys. Rev. D52(1995)2312
- [24] S.F. Hassan, Nucl. Phys. B460(1996)362

- [25] A. Ali, D.P. Jatkar and A. Kumar, preprint IP/BBSR/91-14; A. Ali, A. Kumar, J. Maharana and G. Sengupta, Commun. Math. Phys. 148(1992)117; S. Nojiri, Phys. Lett. B264(1992)264
- [26] M. Günaydin, J.L. Petersen, A. Taormina and A. van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B322(1989)402; K. Ito, J.O. Madsen and J.L. Petersen, Phys. Lett. B292(1992)298
- [27] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A9(1994)653; F. Bastianelli, N. Ohta and J.L. Petersen, Phys. Lett. B327(1994)35; Phys. Rev. Lett. 73(1994)1199; N. Ohta and T. Shimizu, Phys. Lett. B355(1995)127; S.V. Ketov, Class. Quant. Grav. 12(1995)925; S.J. Gates, Jr., Phys. Lett. B338(1994)31
- [28] D. Chang and A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D35(1987)1388; F. Bastianelli and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D50(1994)4051
- [29] D.-E. Diaconescu and N. Seiberg, JHEP 07(1997)001
- [30] C. Kounnas, M. Porrati and B. Rostand, Phys. Lett. B258(1991)61
- [31] C. Callan, J. Harvey and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B359(1991)611; Nucl. Phys. B367(1991)60
- [32] A. Ali, work in progress.